

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2001 | Average | Unsatisfactory | N/A |
| 2002 | Average | Unsatisfactory | N/A |
| 2003 2004 | Average | Unsatisfactory | No |

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/J anguage Arts Mathematics English/J anguage Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

| | Teachers | Students | Parents |
|--|----------|----------|---------|
| Number of surveys returned | 30 | 208 | 172 |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 70.0% | 64.7% | 66.1% |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 89.7% | 70.7% | 53.8% |
| Percent satisfied with home-school relations | 63.3% | 86.4% | 65.1% |

| North District Middle | | | | | | | | 2501008 |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| PACT PERFORMANCE | BY GR | OUP | | | | | | |
| | | Rent Testing | | allow Basic | | Proficient of | Advanced olo Profi | icient and charge |
| | | ent resting | lested olo Bi | 1885 / | Basic of | Sicien. | "ance | icient and co |
| | Molif | 1, 04, 010 | (62. \ V. | JOH O | 803 | Skn. \ " | ACT OFO | Advance |
| | \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \ | 383/ | 0/02 | ` | | | 0/01 | <u> </u> |
| All attacks to | | | Ei | igiisii/Lai | iguage A | | | |
| All students | 437 | 99.8 | 30.8 | 52.0 | 15.8 | 1.5 | 17.3 | 17.6 |
| Gender | 000 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 47.0 | 44.4 | ٥٠ | 11.0 | 47.C |
| Male Female | 208 | 100.0 | 40.9 | 47.2 | 11.4 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 17.6 |
| | 229 | 99.6 | 21.3 | 56.5 | 19.8 | 2.4 | 22.2 | 17.6 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group White | 202 | 100.0 | 21.4 | 54.0 | 21.9 | 2.7 | 24.6 | 17.6 |
| African-American | 233 | 99.6 | 39.2 | 50.0 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 17.6 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17.6 |
| Hispanic | | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | | N/A | N/A | 17.6 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 2 | 100.0 | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 17.6 |
| Disability Status | N/A | 0.0 | IN/A | IN/A | N/A | IN/A | IN/A | 17.0 |
| Not disabled | 270 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 53.5 | 17.9 | 1.7 | 19.7 | 17.6 |
| Disabled | 378 | 98.3 | | | | N/A | 1.9 | 17.6 |
| Migrant Status | 59 | 98.3 | 55.6 | 42.6 | 1.9 | IN/A | 1.9 | 17.6 |
| Migrant | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17.6 |
| Non-migrant | 437 | 99.8 | 30.8 | 52.0 | 15.8 | 1.5 | 17.3 | 17.6 |
| English Proficiency | 437 | 99.0 | 30.6 | 32.0 | 13.6 | 1.5 | 17.3 | 17.0 |
| Limited English proficient | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17.6 |
| Non-limited English proficient | 437 | 99.8 | 31.1 | 51.5 | 15.9 | 1.5 | 17.4 | 17.6 |
| Socio-Economic Status | 401 | 00.0 | 01.1 | 01.0 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 17.4 | 17.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 263 | 99.6 | 39.1 | 51.1 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 9.8 | 17.6 |
| Full-pay meals | 171 | 100.0 | 18.8 | 53.3 | 24.8 | 3.0 | 27.9 | 17.6 |
| 1 1 1 1 | | | | 1 00.0 | | 1 0.0 | 1 | , |
| | | | | Mathe | matics | | | |
| All students | 437 | 99.8 | 34.7 | 53.4 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 15.5 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 208 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 53.4 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 15.5 |
| Female | 229 | 99.6 | 34.6 | 53.4 | 8.2 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 15.5 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| White | 202 | 100.0 | 25.7 | 55.1 | 15.0 | 4.3 | 19.3 | 15.5 |
| African-American | 233 | 99.6 | 42.7 | 51.6 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 15.5 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.5 |
| Hispanic | 2 | 100.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.5 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.5 |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | |
| Not disabled | 378 | 100.0 | 31.5 | 54.6 | 10.4 | 3.5 | 13.9 | 15.5 |
| Disabled | 59 | 98.3 | 54.5 | 45.5 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.5 |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.5 |
| Non-migrant | 437 | 99.8 | 34.7 | 53.4 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 15.5 |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English proficient | N/A | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.5 |
| Non-limited English proficient | 437 | 99.8 | 35.0 | 52.9 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 12.1 | 15.5 |
| Socio-Economic Status | | 0 | | | | | | |
| Cubaidizad maala | 262 | 00.6 | 115 | 107 | I 50 | 1 A 0 | 1 60 | 1 15 5 |

44.5

20.6

48.7

60.0

13.3

0.8

6.1

6.8

19.4

15.5

15.5

99.6

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

triding of testics olo Profile Handerleed olo Balom Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 7 214 48.6 24.1 N/A 25.0 2.4 26.4 Grade 8 197 N/A 24.9 49.2 21.2 4.7 25.9 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 7 211 34.2 45.6 18.1 2.1 20.2 99.5 Grade 8 226 100.0 27.5 58.0 1.0 14.5 13.5

| | Mathematics | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|-----|-------|------|------|------|-----|------|--|--|--|
| | Grade 3 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | Grade 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2002 | Grade 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 20 | Grade 6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | Grade 7 | 214 | N/A | 43.9 | 39.2 | 13.2 | 3.8 | 17.0 | | | |
| • | Grade 8 | 197 | N/A | 42.0 | 48.2 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 9.8 | | | |
| | Grade 3 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | Grade 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2003 | Grade 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 20 | Grade 6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | Grade 7 | 211 | 99.5 | 35.6 | 51.5 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 12.9 | | | |
| | Grade 8 | 226 | 100.0 | 33.8 | 55.1 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 11.1 | | | |

SCHOOL PROFILE

| | Our School | Change from Last Year | Middle Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Middle School |
|---|------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Students (n= 427) | | | | |
| Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8) | 15.3% | Down from 16.1% | 11.1% | 14.4% |
| Retention rate | 4.0% | Up from 1.6% | 2.9% | 2.3% |
| Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented | 94.4% | Down from 94.7% | 94.8% | 95.2% |
| | 5.6% | Up from 3.6% | 12.5% | 13.6% |
| On academic plans On academic probation | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade | 13.9% | Up from 10.5% | 14.5% | 14.1% |
| | 10.8% | Up from 7.9% | 4.2% | 4.9% |
| Suspended or expelled | 2.3% | Up from 2.1% 0.9% No change 0.0% | | 1.3% |
| Annual dropout rate | 0.0% | | | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n= 29) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers | 24.1% | Down from 24.2% | 45.6% | 47.1% |
| | 96.6% | Up from 87.9% | 80.3% | 82.5% |
| Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | 86.6% | Down from 88.8% | 83.2% | 84.3% |
| Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary | 92.8% | Down from 93.7% | 94.8% | 95.0% |
| | \$38,924 | Up 5.2% | \$38,921 | \$39,924 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 6.9 days | Down from 8.4 days | 11.0 days | 10.7 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 7.0 | Up from 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio | 20.2 to 1 | Up from 18.8 to 1 | 20.8 to 1 | 21.0 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 86.5% | Down from 87.1% | 88.6% | 88.9% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$6,400 | Down 10.4% | \$5,752 | \$5,854 |
| Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts | 62.6% | Up from 60.4% | 62.1% | 62.0% |
| | Good | Down from Excellent | Good | Good |
| Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation | 57.0% | Up from 36.8% | 96.1% | 94.8% |
| | no | N/A | yes | yes |

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

| | Our District | State | |
|---|--------------|-------|--|
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools | N/A | N/A | |

| | | | • • | |
|------|----------|---------|---------|--------|
| Δhhr | eviation | e tor N | liccina | I lata |
| | | | | |

| | | | | | | Ū | | | |
|---|-----|----------------|-----|---------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------------|--|
| 1 | N/A | Not Applicable | N/C | Not Collected | N/R | Not Reported | I/S | Insufficient Sample | |

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

North District Middle School faculty and students continue to strive to meet today's challenges and to prepare for the future. Despite the budget cuts and setbacks, we continue to put our shoulders to the wheel of hard work. We are good soldiers with determination, inspiration, and zeal.

Our major concern is our students. Keeping in conjunction with the "no child left behind" idea, we view every individual as important with an equal opportunity to succeed. We keep an open mind to search and research for new innovations and strategies that will aid us in our endeavors. One innovation that was introduced to our teachers is the "total teacher" concept. All of the teachers initiated the concept and some of them completed the process. This term, ideas from the "total teacher' concept will continue to be implemented in the classrooms. We are not stopping with that one innovation. We are open for new ideas as we try to reach our goal.

With the aid of grants, we are able to expand our technological resources and extend the school day by offering after-school services. We utilize community services and programs to enhance the school's curriculum. The faculty is encouraged to take part in staff and professional developments.

North District Middle School will keep its eyes on the goal and will continue to press forward for an excellent rating. If we believe it, we can achieve it.

H. B. Priester Principal, NDMS

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.